..... (Original Signature of Member)

117TH CONGRESS 2D Session



To provide that a Federal law enforcement officer may not use deadly force or less lethal force unless such force is necessary, to encourage States to adopt similar laws or policies, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. KHANNA introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on _____

A BILL

- To provide that a Federal law enforcement officer may not use deadly force or less lethal force unless such force is necessary, to encourage States to adopt similar laws or policies, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

4 This Act may be cited as the "Police Exercising Ab5 solute Care With Everyone Act of 2022" or the "PEACE
6 Act of 2022".

 $\mathbf{2}$

1 SEC. 2. USE OF FORCE BY FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT 2 **OFFICERS.** 3 (a) PROHIBITION ON LESS LETHAL FORCE. 4 (1) IN GENERAL.—A Federal law enforcement 5 officer may not use any less lethal force unless such 6 force used is the least amount of force that is nec-7 essary and proportional in order to prevent an immi-8 nent threat of physical injury to the Federal law en-

9 forcement officer or another person, or that is nec-10 essary and proportional to effectuate an arrest of a 11 person whom the officer has probable cause to be-12 lieve has committed a criminal offense, and only 13 after available and reasonable alternatives to the use 14 of less lethal force have been exhausted.

15 (2) REQUIREMENT TO REDUCE AND CEASE THE
16 USE OF FORCE.—A Federal law enforcement officers
17 shall—

18 (A) immediately reduce any force used in
19 accordance with paragraph (1) as the threat di20 minishes; and

21 (B) cease the use of any such force as soon
22 as—

(i) the person on whom force is used
is under the Federal law endorsement officer's control or no longer poses a threat of
physical injury to another person; or

1	(ii) the Federal law enforcement offi-
2	cer determines that force will no longer ac-
3	complish, or is no longer necessary to ac-
4	complish, a legitimate law enforcement ob-
5	jective.
6	(b) Prohibition on Deadly Use of Force.—
7	(1) A Federal law enforcement officer may not
8	use deadly force against a person unless—
9	(A) such force is necessary and propor-
10	tional as a last resort to prevent imminent and
11	serious bodily injury or death to the Federal
12	law enforcement officer or another person;
13	(B) the use of such force creates no sub-
14	stantial risk of injury to a third person; and
15	(C) the available and reasonable alter-
16	natives to the use of such force have been ex-
17	hausted.
18	(2) CLARIFICATION.—A Federal law enforce-
19	ment officer may not use deadly force against a per-
20	son who poses a danger only to himself or herself.
21	(c) Requirement to Give Verbal Warning
22	When feasible, prior to using force against a person, a
23	Federal law enforcement officer shall identify himself or
24	herself as a Federal law enforcement officer, and issue a

verbal warning to the person that the Federal law enforce ment officer seeks to apprehend, which shall—

- 3 (1) include a request that the person surrender
 4 to the law enforcement officer; and
- 5 (2) notify the person that the law enforcement
 6 officer may use force against the person if the per7 son resists arrest or flees.

8 (d) GUIDANCE ON USE OF FORCE.—Not later than 9 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the At-10 torney General, in consultation with impacted persons, 11 communities, and organizations, including representatives 12 of civil and human rights organizations, victims of police 13 use of force, and representatives of law enforcement asso-14 ciations, shall provide guidance—

- (1) to Federal law enforcement agencies on the
 types of less lethal force and deadly force that are
 prohibited under subsections (a) and (b);
- 18 (2) on how a Federal law enforcement officer
 19 can assess whether the use of force is appropriate
 20 and necessary; and
- 21 (3) which shall account for special consideration
 22 when interacting with—
- 23 (A) pregnant individuals;
- 24 (B) children and youth under 21 years of
 25 age;

1	(C) elderly persons;
2	(D) physically frail individuals;
3	(E) persons with mental, behavioral, or
4	physical disabilities or impairments;
5	(F) persons experiencing perceptual or cog-
6	nitive impairments due to use of alcohol, nar-
7	cotics, hallucinogens, or other drugs;
8	(G) persons suffering from a serious med-
9	ical condition; and
10	(H) persons with limited English pro-
11	ficiency.
12	(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:
13	(1) DEADLY FORCE.—The term "deadly force"
14	means force that creates a substantial risk of caus-
15	ing death or serious bodily injury, including—
16	(A) the discharge of a firearm;
17	(B) a maneuver that restricts blood or oxy-
18	gen flow to the brain, including chokeholds,
19	strangleholds, neck restraints, neck-holds, and
20	carotid artery restraints; and
21	(C) the use of a less-lethal weapon in a
22	manner that creates a substantial risk of death
23	or serious bodily injury, including repeated or
24	prolonged discharges of an electronic control

2

6

weapon, and firing a kinetic impact projectile weapon at the subject's head.

3 (2)TACTICS DEESCALATION AND TECH-NIQUES.—The term "deescalation tactics and tech-4 5 niques" means proactive actions and approaches 6 used by a Federal law enforcement officer to sta-7 bilize the situation, taking as much time as appro-8 priate, without using physical force or the threat of 9 physical force, so that more options and resources 10 are available to gain a person's voluntary compliance 11 and reduce or eliminate the need to use force, in-12 cluding verbal persuasion, warnings, tactical tech-13 niques, slowing down the pace of an incident, wait-14 ing out a subject, creating distance between the offi-15 cer and the threat, and requesting additional re-16 sources to resolve the incident.

17 (3) IMMINENT THREAT.—The term "imminent 18 threat" means that a person creating a risk has the 19 present ability, opportunity, and apparent intent to 20 immediately cause the harm that is threatened. Such 21 term does not include merely a fear of future harm 22 but is a risk that, based on the information available 23 at the time, must be immediately confronted and ad-24 dressed.

1 (4) NECESSARY.—The term "necessary" means 2 that another reasonable Federal law enforcement of-3 ficer would objectively conclude, under the totality of 4 the circumstances, that there was no reasonable, less 5 harmful alternative to the use of force.

6 (5) PROPORTIONAL.—The term "proportional" 7 means the potential harm likely to be caused 8 through the Federal law enforcement officer's use of 9 force does not outweigh the benefit to be gained 10 through achieving the direct and legitimate law en-11 forcement objective.

12 (6) REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES.—The term "reasonable alternatives" means tactics and methods 13 14 used by a Federal law enforcement officer to effec-15 tuate an arrest that do not unreasonably increase 16 the risk posed to the law enforcement officer or an-17 other person, including verbal communication, dis-18 tance, warnings, deescalation tactics and techniques, 19 tactical repositioning, and other tactics and tech-20 niques intended to stabilize the situation and reduce 21 the immediacy of the risk so that more time, op-22 tions, and resources can be called upon to resolve the situation without the use of force. 23

24 (7) TOTALITY OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES.—The
25 term "totality of the circumstances" means all cred-

1	ible facts known to the Federal law enforcement offi-
2	cer leading up to and at the time of the use of force,
3	including the actions of the person against whom the
4	Federal law enforcement officer uses such force and
5	the actions of the Federal law enforcement officer.
6	(f) Limitation on Justification Defense.—
7	(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 51 of title 18,
8	United States Code, is amended by adding at the
9	end the following:
10	"§1123. Limitation on justification defense for Fed-
11	eral law enforcement officers
12	"(a) IN GENERAL.—It is not a defense to an offense
13	under section 1111 or 1112 that the use of less lethal
15	
13	force or deadly force by a Federal law enforcement officer
14	force or deadly force by a Federal law enforcement officer
14 15	force or deadly force by a Federal law enforcement officer was justified if—
14 15 16	force or deadly force by a Federal law enforcement officer was justified if—
14 15 16 17	force or deadly force by a Federal law enforcement officer was justified if—
14 15 16 17 18	force or deadly force by a Federal law enforcement officer was justified if—
14 15 16 17 18 19	force or deadly force by a Federal law enforcement officer was justified if—
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	force or deadly force by a Federal law enforcement officer was justified if— "(1) that officer's use of use of such force was inconsistent with section 2 of the Police Exercising Absolute Care With Everyone Act of 2022; or "(2) that officer's actions, leading up to and at the time of the use of force, contributed to the ne-
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	force or deadly force by a Federal law enforcement officer was justified if— "(1) that officer's use of use of such force was inconsistent with section 2 of the Police Exercising Absolute Care With Everyone Act of 2022; or "(2) that officer's actions, leading up to and at the time of the use of force, contributed to the ne- cessity of the use of such force.

1	(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sec-
2	tions for chapter 51 of title 18, United States Code,
3	is amended by inserting after the item relating to
4	section 1122 the following:

"1123. Limitation on justification defense for Federal law enforcement officers.".

5 SEC. 3. LIMITATION ON THE RECEIPT OF FUNDS UNDER 6 THE EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE AS7 SISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM.

8 (a) LIMITATION.—A State or unit of local govern-9 ment, other than an Indian Tribe, may not receive funds 10 that the State or unit of local government would otherwise 11 receive under subpart 1 of E of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 12 13 10151 et seq.) for any fiscal year in which the State or 14 unit of local government, other than an Indian Tribe, does not have in effect a law that is consistent with section 15 2 of this Act and section 1123 of title 18, United States 16 Code, as determined by the Attorney General. 17

18 (b) SUBSEQUENT ENACTMENT.—If funds described 19 in subsection (a) are withheld from a State or unit of local 20 government pursuant to subsection (a) and the State or 21 unit of local government enacts or puts in place a law de-22 scribed in subsection (a), and demonstrates substantial ef-23 forts to enforce such law, the State or unit of local govern-24 ment shall be eligible, in the fiscal year after the fiscal year during which the State or unit of local government
 demonstrates such substantial efforts, to receive the total
 amount that the State or unit of local government would
 have received during each fiscal year for which funds were
 withheld not to exceed the total that such State or unit
 of local government would have received for a 5 year pe riod.

8 (c) GUIDANCE.—Not later than 120 days after the 9 date of enactment of this Act, the Attorney General, in 10 consultation with impacted persons, communities, and organizations, including representatives of civil and human 11 12 rights organizations, individuals against whom a law enforcement officer used force, and representatives of law 13 enforcement associations, shall make guidance available to 14 15 States and units of local government on the criteria that the Attorney General will use in determining whether the 16 17 State or unit of local government has in place a law described in subsection (a). 18

(d) APPLICATION.—This section shall apply to the
first fiscal year that begins after the date that is 1 year
after the date of the enactment of this Act, and each fiscal
year thereafter.